

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/760,360	01/21/2004	Daniel Francis Falcone		8708	
Dan Falcone	7590 01/22/2007		EXAM	EXAMINER DONNELLY, JEROME W	
5081 Bradley B			DONNELLY		
Chevy Chase, MD 20815			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3764		
	·.	3			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		01/22/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/760,360	FALCONE, DANIEL FRANCIS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerome W. Donnelly	3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	_	·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•			
·=					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)ば Claim(s) <u>/-23</u> is/are pending in the application	n				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 1-6 CINC	8-23				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	÷			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.			
		JEROME DONNELLY			
		RRIMARY EXAMINER			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/760,360

Art Unit: 3764

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Group 1 claims 1-6 and 8-23. The property of the state of

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37) CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Dan Falcone on 12-21-06 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-6 and 8-23. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 7 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claims 16-22 are allowed.

Claim 2, 6, 8, 9, 10 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilkinson.

Wilkinson discloses a device comprising: a support bracket (12) an attachment means (13, 14 and 26) a connector housing (30) an arm member (18) a connector means (32), and a pair of resistance members attached to the distal ends of said arm, said resistance members including handgrips.

Art Unit: 3764

In regard to claim 3 elements 13 and 14 may be considered as hardware.

In regard to claim 15 the resistance members (22) are considered as springs.

In regard to claim 5, wherein the connector housing (62) comprises two opposing, parallel and spaced side walls, affixed on bracket (12) having apertures, for alignment with said connecting means (48), said connecting means being slidably interconnected with said connector housing.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkinson.

The device used in the method performed in claim 23 is obvious in view of the disclosure of Wilkinson. Wilkinson's abstract discloses that his device has virtually an infinite number of resistance positions locations, which can provide universal exercise for the arms legs back neck etc.

Claims 11 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shifferaw.

Shifferaw discloses a device comprising a support bracket (82), an attachment means (116), a connector (12), arm members (44) a connecting means (48) and wherein said arm member comprises a plurality of interchangeable arms having varying degree s of resiliency.

The method of claim 23 is disclosed by the device of Shifferaw disclosed in fig. 16-18.

Art Unit: 3764

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "back reset" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "back rest frame" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

Jerome Donnelly

JEROME DONNELLY
PRIMARY EXAMINER